

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:	§	
BUTAN VALLEY, N.V.	§	Bankruptcy Case No. 07-36856
Debtor	§	

	§	
OSAMA ALKASABI,	§	
Appellant,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-08-3514
	§	
v.	§	
	§	Adversary No. 08-3039
SOCIETE GENERALE, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	
Appellees.	§	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Osama Alkasabi's Notice of Appeal [Attachment 1 to Doc. # 1]. Alkasabi, *pro se*, again seeks to appeal a discovery ruling. This time, Alkasabi seeks to appeal Order Denying Motion for Discovery ("Order") [Doc. # 77 in Adversary No. 08-3039] issued by the United States Bankruptcy Court on November 12, 2008. The Court, having reviewed the record and the governing legal authorities, **denies** leave to file the interlocutory appeal and **dismisses** this civil case.

The Fifth Circuit has not expressly adopted criteria specifically for determining whether to grant leave to appeal an interlocutory order of a bankruptcy court, but it


has recognized that many district courts use the standard applied under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) for interlocutory appeals to the various courts of appeals. *See Ichinose v. Homer Nat'l Bank*, 946 F.2d 1169, 1177 (5th Cir. 1991). To be appealable under this standard, an interlocutory order of the bankruptcy court must involve a controlling issue of law upon which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion. *Id.* Additionally, the district court must find that an immediate appeal of the interlocutory order would materially advance the ultimate termination of the bankruptcy case. *Id.*

In this case, the Bankruptcy Court denied Alkasabi's most recent Motion for Discovery as duplicative of motions previously denied and for failure to comply with discovery opportunities afforded by the Bankruptcy Court. *See Order*, p. 1.

The Court finds that there is no basis to allow an interlocutory appeal from the Order denying discovery. The Order does not involve a controlling issue of law upon which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and an immediate appeal of the interlocutory order would not materially advance the ultimate termination of the bankruptcy case. Additionally, there are no exceptional circumstances that would justify immediate review under the collateral order doctrine first enunciated in *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541 (1949), and discussed by the Fifth Circuit in *In re Delta Servs. Indus.*, 782 F.2d 1267 (5th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Alkasabi's Notice of Appeal is **DISMISSED**.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 5th day of **December, 2008**.



Nancy F. Atlas
United States District Judge